

(ii) We have,

$$x \cdot x = 0$$

Now, $x + y = 0$

$$\Rightarrow x + y = x + x$$

$$\Rightarrow y = x \text{ (by left cancellation law)}$$

$$\Rightarrow x = y$$

Proved.

(iii) For any $x, y \in R$, we have -

$$x + y \in R$$

$$\Rightarrow (x + y)^2 = (x + y) \cdot [using (i)]$$

$$\Rightarrow (x + y)(x + y) = x + y$$

$$\Rightarrow x(x + y) + y(x + y) = (x + y)$$

$$\Rightarrow x^2 + xy + yx + y^2 = (x + y)$$

$$\Rightarrow x + xy + yx + x = (x + y) [using (i)]$$

$$\Rightarrow (x + y) + (yx + xy) = (x + y) + 0$$

$$\Rightarrow xy + yx = 0 \text{ (by left cancellation law)}$$

$$\Rightarrow xy = -yx \text{ } \leftarrow (2)$$

$$\Rightarrow x(xy) = x(-yx)$$

$$\Rightarrow (xx)y = -(xyx) [\because a(-b) = -(ab)]$$

$$\Rightarrow x^2y = -(xyx)$$

$$\Rightarrow xy = -(xyx) \text{ } \leftarrow (3)$$

Again, from (2) we have,

$$xy = -yx$$

$$\Rightarrow xyx = (-yx)x$$

$$\Rightarrow xyx = (-y)(xx)$$

$$\Rightarrow xyx = (-y)x^2$$

$$\Rightarrow xyx = -(yx)x$$

$$\Rightarrow xyx = -(yx)x$$

$$\Rightarrow -(xyx) = yx \text{ } \leftarrow (4)$$

from (3) & (4) we get

$$xy = yx$$

Proved

A.H. proof of (iii) \Rightarrow (with (ii) proof)

for any $x, y \in R$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & x+y \in R \\ \Rightarrow (x+y)^{\vee} &= x+y \quad [\because x^{\vee} = x, \forall x \in R] \\ \Rightarrow (x+y)(x+y) &= x+y \\ \Rightarrow x(x+y) + y(x+y) &= x+y \\ \Rightarrow x^{\vee} + xy + yx + y^{\vee} &= x+y \\ \Rightarrow x + xy + yx + y &= x+y \\ \Rightarrow (x+y) + (xy + yx) &= (x+y) + 0 \\ \Rightarrow xy + yx &= 0 \quad (\text{by left cancellation law}) \\ \Rightarrow xy &= yx \quad [\because x+y=0 \Rightarrow x=y]. \end{aligned}$$

Proved

08 Theorem \Rightarrow A ring R is without zero divisor if and only if cancellation law hold good in R .

Proof \Rightarrow We are to show that,

R is without zero divisor \Leftrightarrow Cancellation law hold good in R .

First, let R be without zero divisor and let $a \neq 0, b, c \in R$ such that, $ab = ac$

$$\begin{aligned} \because ab &= ac \\ \Rightarrow ab - ac &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow a(b-c) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow b-c &= 0 \quad [\because a \neq 0 \text{ \& } R \text{ is without zero divisor}] \\ \Rightarrow b &= c \end{aligned}$$

This shows that cancellation law hold good in R .

Conversely, let cancellation law hold good in R

we now show that R is without zero divisor

let $a, b \in R$ such that $ab = 0$. To show either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$
let $a \neq 0$. To show $b = 0$.

Now, $ab = 0$

$$\Rightarrow ab = a0$$

$$\Rightarrow b = 0 \text{ (by left cancellation law).}$$

Similarly if $b \neq 0$, then we can show that $a = 0$

$$\therefore ab = 0 \Rightarrow \text{either } a = 0 \text{ or } b = 0$$

This shows that R is without zero divisors.

******* 04.06 **Thorem** \Rightarrow Prove that every field is an integral domain.

Is the converse true? Justify your answer.

Proof: \Rightarrow Let R be a field. In order to show that R is an integral domain, it is sufficient to show that R is without zero divisors.

Let $a, b \in R$ such that $ab = 0$. To show either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$
 Let $a \neq 0$. To show $b = 0$.

Since $a \neq 0 \in R$, $a^{-1} \in R$ and $a a^{-1} = a^{-1} a = 1$.

Now, $ab = 0$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1}(ab) = a^{-1} \cdot 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (a^{-1}a) b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow b = 0.$$

Similarly, if $b \neq 0$, then we can show that $a = 0$.

$$\therefore ab = 0 \Rightarrow \text{either } a = 0 \text{ or } b = 0, \forall a, b \in R$$

This shows that R is without zero divisors

Hence, R is an integral domain.

2nd part \Rightarrow The converse of the above result may not be true. ~~that is~~ an integral domain may not be a field

For this we cite an example below —

The set of integers \mathbb{Z} is an integral domain but it is not a field. For $2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, but $2^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$.